Aretha Franklin was right: it’s all about R-E-S-P-E-C-T. Everyone deserves respect, even people we hate... especially people we hate. Disrespecting people is the quickest way to end a friendship or start a fight.

Of course, we are not identical and not equally deserving of respect—even children sense that—but sensible adults choose to overlook some of our differences in order to get along. Lawyers call this non-discrimination; ministers call us God’s children. This is why statues of Justice are blindfolded—not to the facts of our case but to our social standing. We call this equality under the law.

Today’s laws do not overlook all of our differences, of course, just those that are most likely to be used against us such as race, gender, ethnicity, national origin, religion, age, disability, and sexual orientation. We know the list. These are accidents of birth or aspects of ourselves that we cannot, or should not be forced to, change. As civilization advances, the list of protections grows. We need protection against blood libels like nigger, bitch, bastard, half-breed, wetback, geezer, cripple, faggot. Equality under the law is the slow triumph of hope over history.

It is too early to declare victory. Many people have trouble letting go of their hatred long enough to be polite. Fortunately, overt racism, sexism, anti-Semitism, xenophobia and homophobia are diminishing but, nevertheless, you and I both may be “accidental racists,” as Brad Paisley sings. Politicians are quick to exploit our fears by using code words, like starting a fire by dropping a match. Don’t act shocked; the purpose of politics is, after all, “the systematic organization of hatreds.”

One political trick is to make equality look like the enemy. You do this by defining protection of the weak as either raising your taxes, ruining your neighborhood school, preventing your promotion, or coddling criminals. Of course, equality under the law doesn’t do any of these things; it means equal opportunity, not outcomes, but it can be painted to look like a Cadillac-driving welfare queen or a drug dealer with an Obamaphone. These are cruel distortions. When government programs are abused, blame elected officials and bureaucrats; don’t blame the poor. Many government programs are out of control, so let’s fix them, not trash our fellow citizens. Being respectful of others does not hurt you, or the U.S. Paying respect is not a tax. Tolerance and diversity make us stronger, not weaker. My proof? Two hundred and twenty-six years of American history.

But before we get to that, let me tell you my family’s story.
Personal

My father was racist. Of course, he did not think of himself that way—no respectable person does. In his day, the Ku Klux Klan was racist. My father was an attorney who never considered wearing a white hood.

My dad could not be racist because, just like Atticus Finch in To Kill a Mockingbird, he had defended a black man accused of raping a white woman. During the 1934 trial in Shelbyville, a lynch mob formed, defeated the Tennessee National Guard, killed two bystanders and burned the courthouse to the ground. My father barely escaped with his life.

Nevertheless, my father remained a son of the South. He supported segregation and poll taxes. He opposed busing and intermarriage. In short, he was just like most of your parents and grandparents. That’s why they elected him governor of Tennessee three times.

I sometimes wonder what my children will say about me because the definition of racism expands over time, covering more and more behavior and creating more thought crimes. They probably won’t be satisfied that I was state campaign chairman for Barack Obama if I fail a flip-chart test for subconscious racial bias. They will wonder why Nashville, a health care capital, allowed black and brown babies in our state to die at third-world rates.

This isn’t just my problem. How do you feel when Middle Tennesseans question whether Islam is a religion, stop mosque construction, and try to fire a governor’s aide because she is Muslim? And what about the Sixth Circuit naming a local country club “invidiously discriminatory”? But we also have good qualities, of course. Remember the superhuman effort of Rev. Ed Sanders, a local African-American minister? His church hosted the funeral for James Earl Ray, the assassin of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. His church turned respect into action when no other church, black or white, volunteered.

There’s more to worry about than lingering racism; you and I are guilty of other prejudices, now or in the future. Future generations will ask tough questions, but St. Peter will be tougher. Did I really love my neighbor as myself? How about loving people outside my comfort zone like the people Jesus loved: beggars, prostitutes, lepers, tax collectors? The New Testament is very demanding. Gandhi once said that he might have converted to Christianity if he had ever met a practicing Christian.

Historical

The acid test of your support for equality under the law involves voting rights because voting offers power over the law. Should every adult citizen be allowed to vote for our lawmakers? For most of American history, the answer has been a
resounding “No” due to fear that the wrong kind of citizen would vote. It’s one thing to protect vulnerable populations; it’s another to share power with them. You might end up giving your country away. As a result of these fears, Americans still have no U.S. Constitutional right to vote.13

The United States was not founded on the idea of equality, either under or over the law. True, the Declaration of Independence had some high-flown rhetoric about “all men are created equal” but those words were aspirational, at best.14 Remember: the operative legal document, the Constitution, condoned slavery. Our earliest elections restricted voting to the landed gentry, offering slave owners a three-vote bonus for every five slaves.

In fits and starts during the next 226 years, America has gradually allowed white men without property to vote, white men in new states, former male slaves, men of color, women, women of color, native Americans, poor people, Asian-Americans, non-English speakers, short-term residents, young adults, disabled people, and now even selected felons.15 Each advance has been a battle; once a war.16

Abraham Lincoln led the centuries-long process of redeeming America by giving meaning to the fine words of the Declaration. Lincoln first redeemed himself by overcoming his own racial doubts17 and by discarding notions of forced resettlement in Africa.

Granting voting rights to African Americans was even more controversial than ending slavery but the South was too exhausted after the Civil War to mount armed resistance, so it resorted to Jim Crow laws.18

How many of you realize that, after the first ten amendments to the Constitution, the Bill of Rights, seven of the seventeen remaining amendments were necessary to expand voting rights?19 No other part of the original Constitution was so broken or so hard to fix. And more repairs are needed.

Here in Nashville, TSU student Wilma Rudolph could win gold medals at the 1960 Rome Olympics but she could not eat at a Nashville lunch counter, ride a Greyhound bus, go to the hospital, sleep in a motel, or use a women’s restroom.20 She lived under American apartheid. Until the Voting Rights Act of 1965, she had no assurance that she could vote, despite what the Constitution said. My father, a Harvard-trained lawyer, did nothing to help, just like many members of the Bar.

John Lewis, who attended American Baptist College and Fisk University during the same years, learned civil disobedience so well that he became a civil rights hero and, later, a member of Congress.21 John still knows how to face down harassment. I was standing near him this year at President Obama’s Second Inaugural when two Republican colleagues amused themselves with “birther” jokes. John did not dignify their insults with a response.
Politics

Although today almost every American can vote, tens of millions of us don’t show up for elections. An additional 51 million Americans are not even counted as missing because they are not registered to vote. Many of you think that you are regular voters but you are probably forgetting all the elections you’ve missed. Your absence is public record.

Voting has increased from roughly 6% of the population during the American Revolution to roughly 60% today—the lowest of any advanced democracy—and that’s during high-turnout presidential elections.

Did the Freedom Riders risk their lives to empower a maximum of 60% of voters? That fraction is hauntingly close to the 3/5 treatment of slaves in the original Constitution. Do we even have majority rule in America when no U.S. candidate has ever received majority support of all the adults whom he or she represented?

One explanation for America’s low voter turnout is apathy, which may imply consent. That’s certainly what incumbents like me want to believe, that a majority of Americans think the U.S. is on the right track so there’s no need to vote. Of course, opinion polls indicate the opposite. So why don’t people vote to throw the bums out?

One answer is that voting is a nuisance. There are 13,000 voting districts in America, each with its own rules, and 110,000 precincts. Instead of being as quick and easy as shopping on Amazon.com, voting requires registration months in advance and then waiting in line on exactly the right day at exactly the right location, a makeshift, pop-up DMV. Error rates in elections are high—and there are no receipts. You have to trust a system run by the most partisan people in America, local election commissions.

A great deal of underground legal thought involves ways of lowering voter turnout, not raising it.

You might think I am exaggerating but election abuses have occurred here in Nashville as recently as last year. Read the new report of the State Election Commission. For example, our local election commission paid almost $800,000 for voting software that “pre-selected” every primary voter for the Republican Party, automatically turning Democrats into Republicans. People are shocked that this could happen anywhere in America, much less in Nashville.

As a political strategy, voter suppression laws like overly strict voter ID probably backfired. Evidence from the 2012 election indicates that minorities and students voted at higher rates because they were offended by the new rules, while surprisingly large numbers of seniors, likely Republican voters, were discouraged.
from voting. Nevertheless, Republicans still like strict voter ID laws because they anger Democrats, not because they work.

Political Theory

The idea of easier voting scares many of today’s conservatives. For example, columnist George Will believes that federalizing voter registration or, even worse, mandating voting, would help too many citizens vote.

The father of modern American conservatism, William F. Buckley, Jr., had a different view. He famously said that he’d rather be governed by the first 2,000 names in the Boston telephone book than by the faculty at Harvard University. Unfortunately, Buckley’s brand of conservatism is out of fashion today. His successors think that empowering average citizens is dangerous.

As the poem on the Statue of Liberty says, “Give us your tired, your poor, your huddled masses... your wretched refuse....” Does this sound like the recruitment policy of the greatest nation on earth or a plan to recycle garbage? We are a nation of immigrants and descendants of immigrants, people who had reason to leave their old country, people who are diamonds in the rough. Here in America we have created a melting pot that is the envy of the world. To say we are a mongrel, middle-class nation is a compliment, not a criticism. Why not encourage every one of us to vote?

If you’ve seen the movie “42,” today’s elites can get as angry as the old Brooklyn Dodgers when Jackie Robinson joined the team. If, like even PeeWee Reece, you are feeling disadvantaged as a white male, realize, for example, that you have six years longer to complain, six more years of life expectancy, perhaps because you were spared a life of discrimination and self-doubt. If you think that minorities get better treatment, trade places for a day. You will quickly ask for your old life back and start counting your blessings. Did you know, for example—since today is college decision day, that white males get preferential treatment in college and law school admissions over women and over Asian- and Jewish-Americans? How do you feel about affirmative action when you are receiving it? From this angle, life in America turns out to be, not a zero-sum game, but a pretty darn good deal.

Conclusion: The 28th Amendment

The federal government has done everything possible to help states improve elections and voter turnout during the last several decades. We have spent billions of dollars to encourage states to streamline voter registration, buy better voting machines, shorten lines at the polls, and produce more accurate, timely results. Despite all this effort, we have failed.
It’s as if states have a deliberate policy to keep most Americans from voting and the feds are powerless to help. If you believe that more citizens should vote, we need major legal changes.

One idea is mandating voting, but I believe that coercion would backfire. America has a genius for volunteerism; Tennessee in particular. We could also nudge people toward voting by penalizing people who fail to show up at the polls (as in Australia) or rewarding those who do. That would be intrusive and probably very expensive. Or, we could simply clear out the obstacles to voting.

My preference is to clear out the obstacles. Under my proposal, for the first time in our history, Americans would have an explicit Constitutional right to vote. My text for the 28th Amendment could not be simpler.

The right of adult citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied or abridged by the United States or any State.

This proposed amendment bans voter suppression, leaving it up to Congress and to federal judges to define abridgement. Any future restriction on voting would have to survive “strict scrutiny” by the judiciary.

Lincoln told us that government was supposed to be “of the people, by the people and for the people.” Recognizing that everyone has something valuable to contribute to society will help us improve elections and society as a whole. Voting rights can turn equality-under-the-law into reality.

One day, perhaps, our statues of Justice will not need a blindfold because everyone is respected. After the Tucson shooting of my dear colleague Gabby Giffords, commentator Mark Shields said, “We saw a white, Catholic, Republican federal judge murdered on his way to greet a Democratic, woman member of Congress, who was his friend and was Jewish. Her life was saved initially by a twenty-year-old Mexican American gay college student... and eventually by a Korean American combat surgeon... And then it was all eulogized... by our African American president.”

Only in America. Only in America.
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